UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,376	12/31/2003	Keith A. Rindlesbach	01845-22396	4892
	7590 05/12/200 TH & WESTERN, LL	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1219		CHOI, FRANK I		
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Communication Don Annual	10/750,376	RINDLESBACH, KEITH A.			
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANK I. CHOI	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
1. ☑ The Notice of Appeal filed on <u>2/5/2008</u> is not acceptable because:					
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).					
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.					
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$					
(e) 🗵 the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.					
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on					
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:					
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).					
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$					
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).					
3. ☑ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED b	pecause:				
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.					
(b) ☐ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.					
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on					
(d) ☑ other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this ap	oplication:				
(a) is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.					
(b) 🔲 is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

on the merits remains CLOSED.

(c) is before the examiner for consideration.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-461)

Application No. 10/750,376

Continuation of 3. (d) Other: After a non-final office action (11/5/2007) reopening prosecution after an appeal brief (8/20/2007), the Appellant may not file both a notice of appeal and amendment followed by an appeal brief (2/26/2008). In this case, the notice of appeal is defective and the amendment(2/5/20008) and appeal brief (2/26/2008) are treated as a reply under 37 CFR 1.111. The Appellant is directed to the USPTO website http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/bpaifag.html, FAQ C7 and C8.

/Johann Richter/